

CIA – Rebellion in the Church

Hello and welcome to this edition of Catholic Investigative Reports... I'm Michael Voris

Our topic tonight is:

Rebellion in the Church -- How lust and heresy have hijacked the Church.

· Thesis: The Catholic Church is being torn apart by traitorous or ignorant clerics, religious and laity who have succumbed to humanist philosophies out of cowardice, lust and pride.

Thankfully .. not all bishops have succumbed to the spirit of the age.

There are many fine and splendid bishops who speak the truths of the faith very clearly .. such as .. Cardinal Raymond Burke who said recently in a speech that received worldwide attention .. bishops have as much if not more duty to obey the Magisterium.

When they do not .. they are disobedient. Here's a brief excerpt from his speech.

(ROLL VIDEO – obedience / chastity)

It would not be a stretch to say that the Church is on fire ... in a number of ways.

The source of the fire... or rebellion ... can certainly be traced to original sin ...

but many of our contemporary problems lead us more precisely to the last 500 years... when Christendom was shattered profoundly by two unlikely revolutionaries.

The consequences of their actions have come to fruition in our day .. enabling a succession of modern rebels to weaken the Church from within.

So let's begin ...

BOARD #1 - REFORMATION!!!! (:10+)

MIKE ON PROMPTER FACE ON

The two primary rebels who started the fire were .. Martin Luther and Henry VIII.

What they put in motion – directly influences the choices that millions of souls make each day – and by these decisions – millions of souls may be lost – primarily because they have been cut off from a life in Christ – because they have been cut off from the Catholic Church.

In many ways the rebellion is now the status quo, leaving the Catholic Faith something of a shambles.

For example .. the reason so many Catholic school students know next to nothing about their faith today .. is that these rebels worked tirelessly to suborn and destroy Catholic education by publishing

flawed religion textbooks and ginning up hokey Masses and taking us all on those psycho babble retreats – all of which had nothing to do with Catholicism.

Remember all that nuttiness?

MIKE BACK TO AUDIENCE Wide Shot

What is left of the Catholic Faith... is in many ways... just a shadow of her former self... and we find ourselves now engaged in a "counter -reformation"... a COUNTER REBELLION .. engaged in a "spiritual battle" for souls and the Church as Christ entrusted it to Peter.

How did all this begin? How did we get to where we are today?

If we trace back to Martin Luther we see the Rebellion in the Church beginning to take serious shape.

Luther .. remember was a priest. He is the Father of the Reformation. Now a couple of important footnotes.

First .. virtually every heresy or schism in the Church's history was the brainchild of a cleric .. a priest or bishop.

Moreover .. the heresies accelerate because other bishops allow it because of their cowardice and hubris.

Because of the way power and influence is exercised in the Church .. the laity have very limited influence in getting a good heresy off the ground.. they only come to accept it later.

It's very difficult for a layman to begin a heretical movement and keep it going. That's a good thing .. unless of course .. you're a community organizer.

Secondly .. history calls the Protestant Revolt of the 16th century .. the Reformation. Nothing could be further from the truth.

Martin Luther did not Re-Form the Church .. he tore it apart .. fomenting revolution and overthrow.

He began by rejecting certain teachings in the Church and ended up rejecting the Church Himself.

What he set in motion was a mindset of rejection of authority for its own sake.

What Luther set loose upon society was an attitude of rebellion against authority whereby each person becomes his own authority.

It started off seemingly innocuous enough .. confined to "just" interpretation of Scripture.

But then it quickly spread .. from interpretation of scripture .. personal acceptance or rejection of individual Church teachings .. then an out and out rejection of the Church herself.

With the Church now de-stabilized .. the political structure also began to shake and falter.

GO TO HENRY

With Religious Revolution burning across mainland Europe .. England caught fire as well in the person of King Henry VIII.

Henry .. following close on the heels of Luther's approach .. he claimed the authority of the Church for himself .. specifically taking the title .. Supreme Head of the Church in England.

He coerced every bishop in the realm .. all except one .. St. John Fisher whom he beheaded.

Every single bishop in England caved in under Henry's threats of house arrest and seizure of Church property.

Their betrayal would have devastating consequences.

Less than a hundred years later .. the Pilgrims would set sail from English shores for the New World .. with their anti-Catholicism in tow.

They were the seed for the nation that would eventually become the world's leading super-power .. and major exporter of the Protestant heresy throughout the world.

The Protestant heresy that would eventually ascend to great heights in the mainstream culture in the 20th century had a deep influence even earlier on politics and scholarship.

What Luther unleashed and the British monarchy exported was the philosophical grounding for Relativism.

By the end of the 16th century and the beginning of the 17th century .. the rebellion against authority had moved out of its largely theological confines and firmly established itself in the arena of cultural elitism.

The Age of the Enlightenment had been born.

BOARD #2 – ENLIGHTENMENT (:10+)

MIKE ON PROMPTER – FACE ON

What is meant by Enlightenment is that all medieval thought, which by definition means Catholic thought, was viewed as un-enlightened and useless, hence the use of the modern term 'Dark Ages'.

In the Enlightenment, Man no longer needs to relate to God, only to himself.

The Enlightenment came to be over the course of more than a century and involved a number of philosophers who rejected objective truth and the authority that proclaimed it.

MIKE TO AUDIENCE - WS

Men like Francis Bacon (1561-1626) who emphasized the use of reason and scientific investigation alone to arrive at truth.

Rene Descartes (1596-1650) with his famous .. Cogito ergo sum .. tried to explain the universe as a merely logical proposition.

And Thomas Hobbes (1588-1679) .. with his radical materialist reinterpretation of Scripture .. where he asserts heaven and hell are actually on earth.

MIKE DOWNSTAGE TO VOLTAIRE

And in its wake came other giants of displacement .. like Francois-Marie Arouet (AH .. RU .. WAY) (1694-1778) who used the pen name .. Voltaire .. scoffed at the Church and its unenlightened .. primal worship of a Supreme Being.

Voltaire is the ICON of the Enlightenment .. elite .. educated .. cultured .. sophisticated .. toast of all the most fashionable parties ..

He and his allies form the pantheon of gods of the Enlightenment .. men like Montesquieu .. John Locke .. Rousseau .. David Hume .. and Berkeley.

John Locke (1632-1704) .. with his appeal to human reason to be the final arbiter of truth as opposed to any outside authority.

George Berkeley (1685-1753) .. and his so-called subjective idealism .. wherein he held that reality proceeds from the mind .. and is not imposed on the mind from an outside authority.

Montesquieu (1689-1755) altered the idea of Divine Providence running throughout history and in turn left events and their consequences to the interplay of great movements of man.

David Hume (1711-1776).. in a bid to confuse the picture even further .. subordinates human reason to human feelings and says morality derives from how we feel .. not an authority.

Rousseau's (1712-1778) advancement of the idea that man is basically good but corrupted by society .. not born into original sin with its resulting concupiscence .. and therefore .. standing in no need of a Savior.

By the way .. it's funny how all these various eras are all misnamed .. the Reformation should be called the Revolt and the Enlightenment should be called the Darkening.

Just as the Protestant Revolt had shattered the windows and rocked the foundations of a unified vision of Divinity and man's relationship to it .. the philosophers of the Enlightenment came around to tear down what was left standing.

Prior to Luther's Revolt .. the world view was that God is the origin and end of man's existence and the Church is the necessary conduit between God and Man with its sacraments and dispensation of grace.

In this view .. the state was subordinate to the Church .. yet still a cooperator in preserving the social order .. but the King was subject to the King of Kings .. although frequently in practice .. many monarchs fought against this construct.

So a model might look like a pyramid with God on top .. then the Church .. then the state or governing elite .. then the masses.

Luther caused a whole new structure .. a new world view to arise. With the Church no longer necessary .. then the state had supremacy .. in the temporal order.

With the Church no longer necessary .. then it fell to each man to ascend to God on his own .. his own feelings .. thoughts .. experiences .. in short .. his own subjective view of himself and the world around him.

But in the political realm .. a whole different dynamic was beginning to occur.

With the Church and State so closely linked up to this point .. it was only natural that with the marginalization of the Church .. the monarchies and aristocracies would have to be eliminated too.

The fruit of the Enlightenment is that God was replaced by Man.

Within 200 years .. this understanding would lead to moral chaos .. two world wars .. and hundreds of millions being enslaved by totalitarian dictators.

But in the immediate wake of the Enlightenment .. what was first threatened was the existing political order.

Nowhere was this seen more plainly than in the French Revolution of 1789 .. through 1799 .. accompanied by the Reign of Terror from 1793-1794 .. where upwards of forty thousand people .. many of them faithful Catholics .. clergy and laity .. met their fates on the guillotine .. also called France's National Razor.

The execution of King Louis XVI and his Queen Marie Antoinette in 1793 symbolized the end of an era of authority deriving from above.

From now on .. people would be ruled in equality .. liberty and fraternity .. or such was the fantasy.

Within a decade Napoleon Bonaparte would exert his iron will over France.

But what had been established is the notion that authority derived from below .. according to the whims of the masses .. that ALL authority was subject to review .. revision and even rejection and overthrow.

This is the connection between Luther and Voltaire. That once theological authority was overthrown .. political authority followed naturally.

It would only be a short step to overthrowing the notion of Divine authority.

In summary .. from Luther and Henry to the Enlightenment philosophers .. close to three hundreds years went by.

These three hundred years were the set up for a whole new and much more dangerous Age .. The Age of Modernism.

BOARD #3 - MODERNISM (:10+)

MIKE ON CAM FACE

Luther's Reformation destroyed the notion Church authority.

The Enlightenment destroyed the notion of the authority of the state deriving from Church authority.

With the notion of the authority now so greatly diminished .. a new mindset arises that says men are free to accept or reject ANYTHING presented as authoritative .. based on one's own subjective understanding .. with reason being the supposed grounding.

The appeal to faith as a way of KNOWING becomes disallowed .. regarded as superstition and myth. In this way of thinking .. the Bible becomes treated as folklore .. the sacraments become superstition .. and Heaven and Hell cease to be real ends for man.

MIKE BACK TO AUDIENCE

This is evidenced perhaps nowhere greater than in a field of academics called Historical-Critical Method of Biblical study.

What is that?

It was a way of approaching study of the Bible that took hold in the late 18th century German universities .. by now firmly established in Lutheran theology of no competent objective authority.

As the fires of the Reign of Terror were raging in neighboring France ..

the fires of a theological terror were beginning to rage in Germany (again) ..

while at the same time .. the United States of America was being established firmly on philosophies deriving from the Enlightenment.

Germany became the focal point for attacking established religious belief.

Immanuel Kant (1724-1804) proposed the idea that one can only know God through Reason.

George Hegel (1770-1831) reduces the understanding of GOD .. OUT THERE .. to a set of beliefs accepted by a community of believers.

Their philosophical approach establishes a theological-academic approach to God that denies the miraculous.

This template is then brought over to the field of Scripture Studies and bit by bit .. the Bible is reduced to a record of experiences of believers .. not a series of historical realities.

Jesus becomes at first a figure associated with the Divine .. then someone who doesn't actually know his mission .. then someone who doesn't have a connection with the Divine at all .. then someone who

is just a good guy who thinks people should love their neighbor.

All the stories about Jesus in the Bible therefore become nothing other than the good thoughts his followers held of him after his death.

So the historical-biblical “scholars” claim the Apostles and disciples went back AFTER Jesus died and wrote these accounts to express what they believed about him subjectively.

You’ve all heard the claim that Jesus didn’t really multiply the loaves and fishes .. that people just shared their food with their neighbor. That claim comes from this school of thought.

Jesus never walked on the water .. he never cured the sick .. he never performed any miracles .. BECAUSE .. miracles cannot happen.

And most importantly ... HE DID NOT RISE FROM THE DEAD!

This IS THE claim of Christianity that totally distinguishes it from all other religions. To destroy this claim is to destroy Christianity.

This school of historical-biblical criticism has spawned many groups like the Jesus Seminar .. talk about the Jesus Seminar .. (not so much - here)

If you suppress the Bible specifically and Faith in general as a source of knowledge .. then men look to science for answers.

In the world of science at this time .. Charles Darwin was introducing his THEORY of evolution .. which maintains that EVERYTHING in nature evolves.

This produced a mindset that EVERYTHING evolves .. faith .. Dogma .. understanding of the Bible .. everything.

All of these underlying patterns of thought and philosophies began to swirl together and coalesce into one single larger belief system that destroys the idea of Divine Revelation.

GO SIT DOWN

By the dawn of the 20th century .. this belief system had begun to harden.

Pope St. Pius X called it Modernism and defined it as the “synthesis of all heresies”.

He wrote an encyclical that was as shocking in its prophetic utterances as it was profound in its recognition of the times.

The encyclical against Modernism was called Pascendi Dominici Gregis .. Feed the Lord’s Sheep and was written in 1907 ... and included a powerful directive for the formation of priests:

“Sound your young clerics, too, most carefully, by yourselves and by the directors of your seminaries, and when you find the spirit of pride among any of them reject them without compunction from the priesthood. Would to God that this had always been done with the proper vigilance and constancy.”

(Paragraph 40)

The problem of accepting these modernist heresies had crept so completely into the Church... that Pope Pius X initiated an oath in 1910 that was required of all clergy... and was signed by all candidates for Holy Orders until the renewal of the Ordination Rite after Vatican II... here's a highlight:

“Finally, I declare that I am completely opposed to the error of the modernists who hold that there is nothing Divine in Sacred Tradition ...”

Despite the mandatory oath, it became clear at the beginning of Vatican II, that many had taken the oath and didn't mean it.

One leading modernist liberal was quite frank about his thoughts regarding the oath. Fr. Donald Cozzens from the diocese of Cleveland .. who was a writer in residence at John Carroll University .. said the following about the oath on National Public Radio in 2002:

“We compromised when we signed the oath. We who were to be preachers of the truth, men who were to be trusted, men whose word was all-important, we began our priesthood with an Oath that we didn't really believe.”

This oath caused many in the modernist movement to go underground for about sixty-five years ... until Pope John XXIII called a council that would rock the barque of Peter to our day.

The effect that Modernism had in Catholic circles was subtlety devastating.

Drawing on the idea that truth was arrived at by a critical study of history... then moving on to the understanding that this newly discovered truth had to be revisited each generation and age .. modernists ...in a vain attempt at relevance... developed a new understanding of the dogmas of the Church which resulted in a complete loss of real continuity.

The effect of modernism on the individual soul is either the rapid loss of Christian Faith altogether or a kind of pious agnosticism where someone believes as little as possible yet keeps the external practice of their faith out of loyalty patriotism or childhood nostalgia.” Anne Roche Muggeridge, *The Desolate City*.

With the opening of Vatican II ... many of the clergy were at war with each other over a clash of philosophies and conflicting world views ... meeting in the intellectual battlefield between the “experts” and the Council Fathers.

We need to pause here and explain the relationship between the Council Fathers and the experts ... called periti.

The periti were the crowd that had by and large bought into the modernist heresies. Modernism was fashionable, exciting, new and appealed to the intellectual pride of this largely scholarly class.

The Council Fathers... the bishops... had grown resentful of the centralization of power in Rome which had largely been done in response to the modernist heresy.

The modernist periti were able to gain the ear of many of the council fathers by combining their more

stealth modernist agenda with a call for decentralization of authority in the Church... a power sharing between Rome and individual dioceses.

The call was for a new collegiality where power was shared more equally among the entire college of bishops which would result in each individual bishop increasing his prestige.

The periti mixed the poison of their modernism with the honey of collegiality and many of the bishops could not resist.

To the bishops... the central issue was collegiality and power... not an outright acceptance of modernism. In fact much of the modernist agenda was disguised in stealthy language... that simply never appeared on the radar of many of the council fathers.

Such was the lead up to the Second Vatican Council.

VATICAN II – board #4

Mike on Camera

Vatican II exploded on to the scene... from 1962 to 1965 on a world stage ripe for change.

An overly optimistic view had seized the day.

Humanity had survived the horrors of two world wars.

The economies of the western democracies were in expansion.

Science and technology were promising a brighter future.

And humanity was launching out into space... these were the halcyon days.

Everything that was old ... was suspect and no longer seen as needed.

It was into this milieu that the council fathers stepped on the 11th of October, 1962.

With the whole world changing... there was an internal sense that a brave new world was dawning ... and it was time for the Church to change too... .. and... change is exactly what the modernist periti had in mind.

MIKE ON CAMERA

We're not going to go into a deep treatment of all of the various changes and attempted changes that happened at the council... but we will say that there was a great deal of conflict between those agitating for substantial change and those who recognized the great danger posed by such a radical departure from the past.

This battle was largely a battle of words ... and anyone who is familiar with the council understands

that many of the documents of Vatican II contain ambiguous statements ... statements that both a modernist and a non modernist could interpret in very different ways... same words... entirely different meanings... depending on whose doing the reading.

For example... section 50 of the Constitution on the Church in the Modern World... can be read as both reaffirming and denying that procreation is the primary end of marriage.

There is another way that the some of the documents are ambiguous ... a clearly orthodox statement of faith and Catholic truth is asserted ... and then a few paragraphs later... another statement occurs that seems to water down the earlier assertion.

Again... for example...section 8... of the Constitution on the Church... also known as Lumen Gentium... seems to undercut its own identification of the church of Christ with the Catholic Church.

There are many more examples we could give ... but as we said ... this is not a treatment of the documents of Vatican II ... but of the many philosophies.

Coming out of the council... when it wrapped up in 1965... was a perception that the Church was undergoing fundamental and substantial change in Her teachings.

The laity were bombarded with this notion by incessant media reports... and what they saw before their own eyes.

The non- modernists were caught off guard by the public relations campaign waged by the modernists.

Reports from Catholic and secular press... drummed into the minds of the laity that change was widespread...and more was on the way.

The laity would put down their newspapers only to see nuns losing their habits ... priests deserting the Church by the tens of thousands ... and visible changes in the Mass ... the touchstone for Catholic identity.

The combination of unclear language and dramatic visible changes... opened the windows wide to heresy and the actions of not a few clerics bent on refashioning the Church in their own image.

All the preparations had now been put in place for open rebellion... all that was needed was the flashpoint.

On July 25th – 1968... Pope Paul VI issued his encyclical *Humanae Vitae* ... restating long standing Traditional Catholic teaching... that contraception is intrinsically evil... and that the Church can do no less than condemn the acceptance of contraception by modern man.

The modernists now had their weapon and in a supreme irony... their big weapon was a tiny pill.

Within hours of the encyclical... the modernists forces unleashed their attack on the Church and more precisely... the authority of the Papacy.

What had been brewing for centuries beneath the surface... at last erupted to the surface.

A direct challenge... to the authority of the Church... from inside the Church herself... a two headed monster.

The modernists quickly became successful in their establishment of a second Magisterium... where they... theologians and sympathetic cleric... bishops, priests and deacons... would now be the interpreter of the “authentic” Magisterium.

When the Catholic laity needed to know its duties and obligations... it would listen to the Pope... and then rely on the parallel Magisterium to interpret the Pope for them.

Effective authority in the Church had now been transferred from Rome to individual bishops and diocesan staff.

The rebellion hit the ground dragging the laity into a turmoil unknown in the history of the Church ... for example... in Canada ... the bishops essentially declared war on the Vatican and Paul VI denouncing *Humanae Vitae* saying Catholics were not obliged to follow it.

Through a series of backroom deals and political bargaining... by theologians and bishops ... absorbed by their own desire for power and authority ... a document referred to as the Winnipeg Statement... was published in September of 1968... less than two months after *Humanae Vitae*.

That statement was the first substantial break with the authority of the Papacy since Martin Luther 450 years earlier.

It was substantial because it was not one bishop but the entire Canadian conference of bishops.

Not since Henry VIII... had an entire body of bishops abdicated its duty to the See of Peter ... and denied the Truth of Christ so blatantly.

Rebellion had now become institutionalized.

If we take a step back and review what it was that propelled the Protestant Reformation as well as the Enlightenment... and eventually mutated into modernism ... it is one central theme... that final authority rests with the individual.

When this view is laid on top of Catholic teaching... a spiritual calamity arises.

<ROLL VIDEO CLIP> Burke – “Often the lack ... of human life”

The episcopal conferences began to take on a much greater role... and in some instances assumed a parallel role to the Papacy... what could be termed a “Shadow Papacy”.

We see this most clearly with the Canadian bishops and the Winnipeg Statement... but that is not the only example.

As the authority of the Papacy began to be assumed and abused by national episcopal conferences... the treachery spread further down the ranks.

Individual bishops and their diocesan staffs ... began subverting authentic Catholic teaching by any number of means.

For example... rebellious theologians... enjoyed prominent positions on seminary faculties ... traitorous religious ... priests and nuns... were appointed to powerful posts in chanceries across the country.

From these positions of authority... they have been able to spread their corrupting ideas down to even the parish level.

Students at Catholic grammar schools and high schools were fed a regular diet of heresy and were found to be anemic in the basics of the Faith.

Superiors of religious orders also welcomed the rebellion with open arms ... by purging the orthodox from their ranks and welcoming fellow rebels... this was most especially true of orders with education as their major charism.

The congregation of Holy Cross, C.S.C., enjoyed a prominent role in the rebellion ... in the person of Father Theodore Hesburgh... president of the University of Notre Dame from 1952 to 1987.

In 1967... Hesburgh engineered a coup ... in Catholic higher education... the shock waves of which ... still roll across the Church.

With the infamous Land 'O Lakes statement... Hesburgh and his cohorts delivered control of the formerly Church run university... over to a lay board of trustees.

This paved the way for a discounting and minimizing of Catholic teaching in the name of so-called academic freedom.

This blueprint was then duplicated at dozens of other Catholic universities across America.

At school after school solid Catholic faculty members were replaced with atheist instructors and a host of other faculty as well as staff hostile to Church teaching.

In this manner ... the rebellion would ensure survival long after the original rebels had passed from the scene.

Seminarians ... religious... priests ... lay students and adult converts... those in houses of formation and others connected with Catholic higher education ... were corrupted.

Many of these individuals with a corrupted understanding of the Faith... moved on in their turn to positions of authority as teachers, priests, bishops and religious superiors.

The result is a near total collapse of the transmission of the authentic Faith.

<board #5> POST CONCILIAR PERIOD
Mike on Camera

What has arisen in the Church now... is a relativistic individualistic interpretation of Divine Revelation ... of the Truths of Christ and His One Holy Catholic Apostolic Church.

The Church in many ways is now a perfect reflection of the culture.

<ROLL CLIP> Burke -“The culture teaches us to act...love from one another”

In no way has the rebellion been more effective than in promoting the wholesale rejection of the Church’s moral teaching in the area of sexuality.

The abject failure of many many bishops to defend and promote the Church’s teaching on contraception... betrays the obligation of their office to teach – guide and rule.

The disobedience of many of the bishops to fulfill their duties... is a disobedience to the Church’s Magisterium.

<ROLL VIDEO> Burke – “I often recall...problems of obedience.”

The scandal of actively homosexual priests and bishops... rampant in the Church...is the cause of the clerical sex abuse scandals which exploded on to the public scene in 2002.

As the Church’s moral teaching was assailed by the rebellion ... the rebels moved to replace it with a morality based on an unsound view of the Church’s social teaching.

The emphasis switched from the good of men’s soul to the care of the body... and in so doing ... the moral voice of the Church ... in matters of eternal salvation ... was usurped and placed at the service of the rebellion.

Without the moral voice of the Church... Christ’s voice is muffled and the world is thrown into chaos.

An authentic understanding of God is lost ... atheism flares up ... and man’s self understanding becomes darkened.

Society becomes subject to the tyranny of the state ...and with no reference to his true identity as ordained by God ... the state becomes the grantor of man’s rights ... and Christ becomes a historical footnote.

Christ’s salvific act becomes an irrelevance ... and His creation falls into the hands of men and the demons that pursue them.

REMEDY <Board # 6>

Mike on Camera

So – what is the remedy?

It is a counter-rebellion ... consisting of brave men and women who understand the signs of the times

... and accept the call to heroism.

What the Church needs is heroes... willing to suffer persecution for the Truth and perhaps even to die for the Faith.

<ROLL CLIP> Burke -“The obedience of Faith... could require martyrdom.”

But martyrs do not appear... they evolve.

They arise from the conflagration of a Church ablaze ... to find the Faith unscarred and ready for them to reclaim.

Vatican II is called the “Council of the Laity”... now is the time for the laity to take this seriously ... we are baptized and confirmed.

We are a Royal Priesthood... a Holy Nation ... a People Set Apart.

The sacraments have made us priest... prophet and king.

If there was ever a moment in our sacred history... when these fundamental truths of our beloved Catholic Faith must be understood and lived... NOW is that moment.

You must fight heresy...as though eternity depended on the outcome ... because it does.

You must love The Church radically ... forsaking all else... for love of the Church is love of Christ.

Pray for your persecutors ... but do not allow them to stand in the way of your salvation.

In so doing ... fighting – loving and praying ... you will glory in the company of all the saints and angels in Heaven... until you see them face to face.

We are a Church chastened and sanctified in the flames of this rebellion.

END